EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS OF AUDITORY MODEL FRONT ENDS FOR ROBUST SPEECH RECOGNITION PROGRAM SUMMARY*

Richard P. Lippmann, Principal Investigator

Lincoln Laboratory, M.I.T.
Lexington, MA 02173- 9108

PROGRAM GOALS

The purpose of this work is to integrate a number of auditory model front ends into a high-performance HMM recognizer, to test and evaluate these front ends on noisy speech, and to analyze the results in order to develop a more robust front end which may combine features of a number of the current auditory model-based systems.

BACKGROUND

This project was motivated by the need for improved speech recognition in noise, and by expectation that auditory model front ends could make recognition more robust to noise, microphone variation, and speaking style. The project has focussed on implementing, evaluating, and comparing three promising auditory front ends: (1) the mean-rate and synchrony outputs of S. Seneff's auditory model; (2) the ensemble interval histogram (EIH) model developed by O. Ghitza; and (3) the IMELDA model due to M. Hunt. Additional comparisons have been carried out between baseline systems using mel-cepstra derived from filterbank and LPC analysis.

RECENT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The three auditory models (Seneff, EIH and IMELDA) have been compared extensively among themselves and with a mel-cepstrum front end for HMM isolated-word recognition on the TI-105 isolated word corpus. Conditions tested have included additive white noise, additive speech babble noise, and spectral variability due to microphone placement, channel, and acoustic recording environment. The best results from the auditory models were shown to provide small but consistent improvement over mel-cepstrum under conditions of high noise and spectral variability. These small improvements may not warrant the added complexity of the auditory models.

Additional comparisons between mel-filterbank (MFB) and LPC-based cepstrum front ends were conducted, showing significant advantages for MFB in noise; the gain in moving from LPC to MFB was greater than the gain in moving from MFB to any of the auditory models. Most recently,

selected CSR experiments have been performed on resource management comparing auditory models to MFB. These results were confirmed at other sites.

As yet, no improvements have been achieved with the auditory models.

PLANS

Plans include: (1) further investigation of dimensionality reduction using principal components and linear discriminant analysis, and (2) completion of the CSR resource management tests on the auditory models.

*THIS WORK WAS SPONSORED BY THE DEFENSE ADVANCE RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY. THE VIEWS EXPRESSED ARE THOSE OF THE AUTHOR AND DO NOT REFLECT THE OFFICIAL POLICY OR POSITION OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT.