Low-Power Optical Interconnects based on Resonant Silicon Photonic Devices: Recent Advances and Challenges

Meisam Bahadori

EE Department, Columbia University
New York, NY, USA
mb3875@columbia.edu

Keren Bergman

EE Department, Columbia University
New York, NY, USA
kb2028@columbia.edu

ABSTRACT

The progressive blooming of silicon photonics technology (SiP) over the last decade has indicated that optical interconnects may substitute the electrical wires for data movement over short distances in the future. A key enabler is the resonant structures that can participate in both modulation and demultiplexing of a high throughput wavelength division multiplexed (WDM) photonic link. The optical and electro-optical properties of such devices are subject to various design considerations, operation conditions, and optimization procedures. We present recent technological advances in photonic links based on resonant structures and highlight the key challenges that must be overcome at a large scale. Furthermore, we discuss how the design space of these resonant devices, down to the geometrical parameters and fabrication errors, can affect the performance and reliability of a photonic link.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Applied computing → Physical sciences and engineering; Computer-aided design; • Computing methodologies → Modeling and simulation; Model development and analysis;

KEYWORDS

Silicon photonics; microring resonators; optical amplitude modulation; optical interconnect; optical power penalty; wavelength division multiplexing

ACM Reference Format:

Meisam Bahadori and Keren Bergman. 2018. Low-Power Optical Interconnects based on Resonant Silicon Photonic Devices: Recent Advances and Challenges. In *GLSVLSI '18: 2018 Great Lakes Symposium on VLSI, May 23–25, 2018, Chicago, IL, USA*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3194554.3194606>

1 INTRODUCTION

Silicon Photonics (SiP) platform has been the subject of intensive research for more than a decade now and its prospects continue to emerge as it enjoys the maturity of CMOS manufacturing industry [2]. SiP foundries all over the world [27] and particularly in the US (AIM Photonics) have been developing reliable photonic design

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

GLSVLSI '18, May 23–25, 2018, Chicago, IL, USA

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-5724-1/18/05...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3194554.3194606>

kits (PDKs) that include fundamental SiP building blocks such as wavelength selective modulators and tunable filters. Microring resonators (MRR) are hailed as the most compact devices that can perform both modulation and demodulation in a wavelength division multiplexed (WDM) transceiver design [9]. Although the use of WDM can reduce the number of fibers carrying data, it also makes the design of transceivers challenging [24]. It is probably acceptable to achieve compactness at the expense of somewhat higher transceiver cost and power consumptions. Nevertheless, these two metrics should remain close to their actual values [61] for Datacom applications. An increase of an order of magnitude is clearly not acceptable. For example costs relative to bandwidth for an optical link in a data center interconnect will have to decrease from the current ~\$5/Gbps down to <\$1/Gbps [1, 3]. Additionally, the transceiver itself must remain compact.

Silicon does not exhibit optical absorption at wavelengths in the vicinity of 1550 nm (a transparent material) and has an indirect bandgap. Therefore, silicon-based MRR modulators are designed based on the “electro-refraction” principle [47]. In this type of modulators, the refractive index of the material (silicon) is alternatively changed by applying an electrical signal, resulting in a different optical path length for “0” bits and “1” bits. In 1987, Soref *et al.* [51] characterized how the optical properties (index and absorption) of Silicon change by injecting charge carriers into an undoped sample or by extracting carriers from a doped sample. Although this phenomenon, called the plasma dispersion effect, is fast enough to enable Gb/s modulation speed [58], it still provides a weak perturbation of the refractive index. In addition, unlike the thermo-optic effects [5], the optical absorption of Silicon is also affected through the plasma dispersion effect. In return, electro-refractive modulators are relatively easy to fabricate, robust, and broadband. In contrast to electro-absorptive modulators (EAM) [16], they do not require the presence of Germanium or another absorbing material in the silicon-on-insulator (SOI) fabrication process.

2 SILICON PHOTONIC LINKS

A photonic link is by definition equipped with a transmit unit (Tx) and a receive unit (Rx). The link architecture based on an integrated photonic platform such as Silicon Photonics can be envisaged as the ones presented in Fig. 1 [7, 10]. The Tx requires input optical power in the form of serialized/combined optical wavelengths. Each wavelength provides one channel of optical data. One convenient solution is to use a multi-wavelength laser source such as a comb laser source [11]. The optical power is then injected into the Tx using vertical grating couplers or edge couplers. This process inflicts optical loss and is unavoidable. Grating couplers with

more than 75% coupling efficiency [54] and less than 4 dB loss [26] have already been demonstrated.

The architecture in Fig. 1(b) consists of cascaded microring modulators. Each modulator is designated to a specific wavelength through thermo-optic tuning of its resonance, while modulating light through fast charge carrier based electro-optic effects in silicon. The output data streams of all modulators are combined and multiplexed inside the bus waveguide and extracted from the Tx unit into a carrier fiber through another optical coupler. As can be seen in Fig. 1(b), multiplexing of optical channels in a transmitter architecture based on MRR modulators is achieved at no additional cost because of the wavelength-selective property of MRRs.

The Rx unit is equipped with a wavelength demultiplexer since WDM scheme is used [6]. Light from each channel, accompanied by optical crosstalk [8], is incident on a photodiode, which is then converted to electrical current. The electrical current is converted to electrical voltage signal and amplified and finally deserialized to recover each individual electrical data stream. Although inclusion of a clock-and-data recovery (CDR) module is a common choice for the Rx units, forwarded clock schemes have also been proposed for MRR-based links [18].

Figure 1: (a) Structure of a single- λ photonic link with a microring modulator at the transmitter and no spectral filtering at the receiver. (b) Anatomy of a WDM link based on microring resonators. Multiplexing of wavelengths is easily achieved due to the wavelength-selective nature of MRRs. Spectral filtering is required at the receiver to select individual channels.

Figure 2(a) depicts the inter-relations of optical and electrical parts of a link to target a specific throughput and energy/bit metric. A link design problem can be formulated in several ways: 1) Maximizing the total throughput (aggregated bandwidth) of the link by finding the best combination of number of channels and data rate per channel for a given optical power budget; 2) minimizing the energy/bit metric for a given throughput; 3) maximizing the

throughput for a given energy/bit metric. In [9], we showed that a silicon photonic link under ideal electronics circuitry can provide up to 2 Tb/s of aggregation. However, later on we included more realistic models for the modulator drivers and TIAs based on 65nm CMOS node and concluded a maximum supported throughput of 1.6 Tb/s for the best energy/bit metric (~ 1.5 pJ/bit) [7]. In a more recent work, we added an optimization of the microring resonators based on realistic fabrication limitations (e.g. the coupling gaps are in the range of 100nm–400nm) and found out that the maximum supported bandwidth is limited to less than 1 Tb/s [10]. Figure 2(b) shows the result of optimization of the link for various throughput targets and Fig. 2(c) shows the breakdown of the calculated energy/bit metrics. This shows that both electronic and photonic components play a significant role in determining the capacity of a silicon photonic link.

Figure 2: (a) Diagram of electrical and optical interplays for designing and analyzing a photonic link. Both electronics and photonics play important parts in the energy consumption of the link (in units of pJ/bit). (b) A recent example of optimizing an MRR-based silicon photonic link for various throughputs. (c) Breakdown of energy consumption of a link for various aggregations.

3 MICRORING MODULATORS

In 2005, it was first demonstrated that silicon MRRs can provide adequate phase shift by taking advantage of the plasma dispersion effect (PN or PIN diode). The device had a compact footprint ($12 \mu\text{m}$ diameter) while achieved more than 10 dB of extinction ratio with a low insertion loss [59]. Since then, many researchers

have proposed various modulator designs based on MRRs for low-power and high-speed modulations. MRRs with free spectral range as large as 22 nm (diameter $<10 \mu\text{m}$) have been demonstrated for dense WDM systems, capable of operating at 15 Gb/s [50]. Dynamic energy consumptions as low as 7 fJ/bit have been demonstrated for an MRR operating at 25 Gb/s with 1 V peak-to-peak drive voltage [23]. In 2013, the first 50 Gb/s modulation at 1.96 V peak-to-peak drive voltage for a silicon racetrack MRR was demonstrated [4]. In order to enhance the modulation efficiency and reduce the effective junction capacitance, MRRs with ZigZag [56] and interdigitated [43] junctions have been proposed. MRRs have also been used for higher-order amplitude modulation formats such as four-level pulse amplitude modulation (PAM4) [48] at 80 Gb/s and PAM8 at 45 Gb/s [15], with a power consumption of 7 fJ/bit and 1 fJ/bit, respectively. Due to the nonlinear spectral response of MRR, a single PN phase shifter requires unequal voltage steps to realize a high-order PAM. To resolve this, designs with two separate phase shifters embedded in one MRR have been proposed [42]. Phase modulation such as binary phase shift keying (BPSK) at 10 Gb/s has also been realized with MRRs [25]. Table 1 provides a summary of recent notable demonstrations of microring modulators.

Table 1: Notable demonstrations of SiP microring modulators.

Structure	Bit rate (Gb/s)	Modulation Format	Extinction Ratio (dB)	Year	Ref.
MRR-PIN	12.5	NRZ	>9	2007	[58]
MRR-PIN	15	NRZ	NA	2016	[50]
MRR-PN	25	NRZ	>5	2011	[23]
MRR-PN	40	PAM4	NA	2017	[42]
MRR-PN	25	NRZ	4.5	2012	[57]
MRR-PN	44	NRZ	3.01	2012	[56]
MRR-PIN	50	NRZ	4.58	2013	[4]

4 MICRORING FILTERS

The use of cascaded MRR modulators at the transmitter to achieve WDM signaling mandates the presence of a wavelength demultiplexer at the receiver end. As shown in Fig. 1(b), MRRs in the form of add-drop structures are capable of performing wavelength demultiplexing due to their wavelength selective spectral response. Based on the desired passband and the rejection ratio of the filter, first-order [8, 12–14] or higher-order [19, 32, 46, 55] add-drop filters are used. Higher order filters provide a better rejection ratio but suffer from a higher loss in their passband.

Recently we showed that it is possible to perform an optimization on the add-drop filters to minimize the optical power penalty of the demultiplexing array [6]. Such optimization of microrings requires a deeper understanding of how these structures operate. MRRs in a demux array are subject to multiple physical and optical constraints. For example, the radius must be large enough to prevent undesired high bending losses [19], but not too large in order

to avoid interference of other resonances in the optical bandwidth of interest. Moreover, the 3dB optical bandwidth of a ring used in a WDM add-drop configuration should be large enough to accommodate the bandwidth of the optical signal to be dropped. A too narrow bandwidth will result in heavy, and undesired, truncation of the signal spectrum, thus distortions [9] (truncation power penalty). However, a too large bandwidth can cause a severe optical crosstalk problem (crosstalk power penalty) if the channel density is high [8, 19, 39].

Under these multiple constraints, the selection of an MRR with right parameters is not a straightforward and easy task. For example, a larger radius results in a strong coupling of the ring to the waveguides while at the same time it results in a smaller optical loss of the ring. Furthermore, in some cases no realistic MRR matching all the requirements exists. In order to characterize the design space of MRR filters, recently we developed accurate compact models [49] that incorporate the effect of physical dimensions (width, height, gap size, radius) on the optical response of first order and higher order structures. Such compact models allow us to characterize the design space of a first-order MRR as shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 3(a), we calculate the contours of optical loss in the passband of the filter and gray out losses worse than 1 dB. In Fig. 3(b), the maximum extinction of the filter outside of its passband is calculated and the values greater than -30 dB are ruled out. In Fig. 3(c), the optical bandwidth of the passband is plotted and the region from 10 GHz to 50 GHz is selected. Finally, the design space shown in Fig. 3(d) is obtained by overlaying the contours of all the parameters. The center point of the design space corresponds to a radius of $9 \mu\text{m}$ and coupling gaps of 180 nm. The center point still

Figure 3: Design space exploration of silicon-based first-order MRR. (a) Contours of optical loss in the passband. (b) Contours of rejection ratio outside of the passband. (c) Optical bandwidth. (d) Design space. Output gap refers to the distance between the ring and the drop waveguide.

remains in the white zone even with the presence of small variations on the gap or radius.

5 CHALLENGES AT SCALE

Although the physical principles behind the operation of MRRs are well-known, a significant amount of engineering effort must be put together to make a MRR-based transceiver fully functional. Here, we briefly review four obstacles that negatively affect the optical performance of MRRs.

5.1 Thermal Sensitivity of MRRs

Thermal effects significantly change the optical behavior of silicon-based devices due to the strong thermo-optic coefficient of silicon material ($dn_{Si}/dT = 1.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ K}^{-1}$) [20]. For very narrow-band optical devices such as MRRs, thermal susceptibility can be significantly detrimental, and requires accurate monitoring and control of the temperature to maintain desired behavior and performance over a long period of operation [17, 31, 60]. The resonance of a typical silicon MRR is shifted by $\sim 10 \text{ GHz}$ ($\sim 0.07 \text{ nm}$) for each degree Kelvin change in the temperature of the MRR [34, 44]. A single degree of temperature variation is therefore sufficient to create significant spectral distortion of on-off keying (OOK) signals for dense wavelength-division multiplexing (DWDM) systems that employ the link architecture of Fig. 1(b). In order to experimentally verify the sensitivity of a silicon MRR as shown in Fig. 4(a), we put it inside a temperature chamber (oven) shown in Fig. 4(b) and tracked the resonance wavelength by increasing the temperature (Fig. 4(c)). As expected, a linear relation between shift of resonance and temperature was observed indicating a thermal sensitivity of 0.067 nm/K of the resonance.

Figure 4: (a) Structure of an MRR with a microheater. (b) An oven hosting an MRR. (c) Measured shift of resonance as a function of temperature.

The popular solution for thermal control of MRRs is based on active thermal feedback control [40, 41] and consists of sending an electrical current through integrated metallic or doped-silicon based micro-heaters to induce Ohmic heating. This method of operation is based on the heat diffusion principle which is a rather slow process. The thermo-optic bandwidth of the heater-MRR structure is typically limited to 50–100 kHz. Recently, we investigated the main tradeoffs between the thermo-optic bandwidth (i.e., heating speed) and the thermo-optic efficiency (i.e., shift of resonance as a function of heater power, in units of nm/mW) for MRRs [5] and concluded that reaching a higher efficiency leads to a lower speed. The rise time and fall time of thermo-optic response typically falls on the order of micro-seconds. Such low speed response imposes

challenges in real-time monitoring and wavelength locking of MRRs. On the plus side, the small thermo-optic bandwidth of the MRR can be utilized to actuate the heater with electrical digital signals such as pulse width modulation (PWM), hence eliminating the need for digital to analog converters [35].

5.2 Self-heating and bistability of MRRs

Due to the resonant nature of MRRs, there is a strong build-up of optical power inside the resonator. The enhancement of optical power is proportional to the finesse (Q-factor) of the resonator for a critically coupled MRR [33]. At the presence of such high optical power inside the ring, even a slight inherent absorption can lead to a noticeable change in the temperature of the ring which causes a thermal drift of resonance, hence deteriorating the optical OOK data. Although for the short period of a “1” bit (e.g., 100 pico-second for 10 Gb/s OOK) this effect is not tangible, for a long stream of consecutive “1” bits it poses a severe threat to the operation of both MRR modulators and filters. A recent transceiver design has clearly pointed out this problem [52] and proposed a thermal tuning algorithm based on the statistics of the data stream. It is worth noting that addition of more electronic circuitry for such algorithms will add-up to the overall energy consumption of the photonic link.

5.3 Fabrication variations and nonuniformity

The spectral parameters of an MRR such as resonance wavelength, free spectral range (FSR), and the optical bandwidth mainly depend on the geometrical properties of the MRR. It is quite known that current silicon photonic fabrication imposes variations on the dimensions of the waveguides (typically in the range of $\sim 5 \text{ nm}$) [21] and the radius of the ring. This results in deviations of the resonance wavelength from the original design [36, 38] and requires thermal tuning, hence degrading the energy efficiency of the link. Furthermore, identical devices experience different variations based on their location on the silicon wafer (fabrication nonuniformity) [37]. Therefore, in order to predict the yield of the fabrication and include that in the optical budget of the link, a wafer-scale statistical model of the variations for the photonic devices should be developed [21, 29, 45]. Most likely, such statistical models vary from one silicon photonic foundry to another which may impose challenges on predicting the yield for a particular transceiver design. Unconventional designs such as multi-mode waveguides have been proven to mitigate the impact of fabrication variations on the resonance of MRRs [30].

5.4 Sidewall roughness and backscattering inside MRRs

Narrow-band spectral response of MRRs in conjunction with high-speed data streams puts stringent requirements on the design of MRRs for high-performance links. It has been shown that in applications where very narrow linewidths (i.e. $Q > 10000$) are required, even a slight roughness on the sidewalls of the ring will cause backscattering (BS) inside the ring [22, 28]. The effect of backscattering in MRRs is typically observed in the form of a splitting of the resonance in the spectral response of the ring [28]. Such spectral

distortion adds extra complexity that further narrows down the design space of MRRs for minimizing power penalties. An increase of optical bandwidth (thus a decrease of the Q-factor) limits the attenuation of the high-frequency components of the dropped signals, but may lead to a truncated filtering shape that inflicts higher losses and spectral distortions on the central frequency components of the dropped signals. Figure 5(a) shows the spectral response of one of our fabricated add-drop MRRs exhibiting a significant resonance splitting. Figure 5(b) compares the simulated response of an MRR with and without the observed backscattering. A loss of 5dB in the passband is inflicted onto the optical data which can significantly impact the bit-error-rate of the optical link.

Figure 5: (a) Measured spectral response of a first-order add-drop with strong backscattering (BS). (b) Simulated MRR with and without backscattering (BS).

6 CONCLUSIONS

Our recent studies indicate that silicon photonic links based on microring resonators can provide data aggregations in the range of 0.5–1 Tb/s at energy efficiencies in the range of 1.5–3 pJ/bit. While the design space of MRRs is not hard to explore for the optimum designs, significant amount of engineering effort still needs to accompany that to overcome challenges such as thermal sensitivity of MRRs, optical absorption and self heating, fabrication variations, and backscattering effects. The potential of silicon photonics for monolithic integration with CMOS electronics [53] is driving engineers to find the best solutions for all the problems that MRRs are facing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported in part by Air Force Research Laboratory (FA8650-15-2-5220), ARPA-E ENLITENED project (DE-AR00000843), and by Department of Energy under LLNS subcontract (B621301).

REFERENCES

- [1] Erik Agrell, Magnus Karlsson, AR Chraplyvy, David J Richardson, Peter M Krummrich, Peter Winzer, Kim Roberts, Johannes Karl Fischer, Seb J Savory, Benjamin J Eggleton, and others. 2016. Roadmap of optical communications. *Journal of Optics* 18, 6 (2016), 063002.
- [2] Luca Alloatti, Mark Wade, Vladimir Stojanovic, Milos Popovic, and Rajeev Jagga Ram. 2015. Photonics design tool for advanced CMOS nodes. *IET optoelectronics* 9, 4 (2015), 163–167.
- [3] Mehdi Asghari. 2008. Silicon photonics: a low cost integration platform for datacom and telecom applications. In *National Fiber Optic Engineers Conference*. Optical Society of America, NThA4.
- [4] Takeshi Baba, Suguru Akiyama, Masahiko Imai, Naoki Hirayama, Hiroyuki Takahashi, Yoshiji Noguchi, Tsuyoshi Horikawa, and Tatsuya Usuki. 2013. 50-Gb/s ring-resonator-based silicon modulator. *Optics express* 21, 10 (2013), 11869–11876.
- [5] Meisam Bahadori, Alexander Gazman, Natalie Janosik, Sébastien Rumley, Ziyi Zhu, Robert Polster, Qixiang Cheng, and Keren Bergman. 2018. Thermal Rectification of Integrated Microheaters for Microring Resonators in Silicon Photonics Platform. *Journal of Lightwave Technology* 36, 3 (2018), 773–788.
- [6] Meisam Bahadori, Dessimlava Nikolova, Sébastien Rumley, Christine P Chen, and Keren Bergman. 2015. Optimization of microring-based filters for dense WDM silicon photonic interconnects. In *Optical Interconnects Conference (OI), 2015 IEEE*. IEEE, 84–85.
- [7] Meisam Bahadori, Robert Polster, Sébastien Rumley, Yvain Thonnart, José-Luis Gonzalez-Jimenez, and Keren Bergman. 2016. Energy-bandwidth design exploration of silicon photonic interconnects in 65nm CMOS. In *IEEE Optical Interconnects Conference (OI), 2016*. IEEE, 2–3.
- [8] Meisam Bahadori, Sébastien Rumley, Hasitha Jayatilleka, Kyle Murray, Nicolas AF Jaeger, Lukas Chrostowski, Sudip Shekhar, and Keren Bergman. 2016. Crosstalk penalty in microring-based silicon photonic interconnect systems. *Journal of Lightwave Technology* 34, 17 (2016), 4043–4052.
- [9] Meisam Bahadori, Sébastien Rumley, Dessimlava Nikolova, and Keren Bergman. 2016. Comprehensive design space exploration of silicon photonic interconnects. *Journal of Lightwave Technology* 34, 12 (2016), 2975–2987.
- [10] Meisam Bahadori, Sébastien Rumley, Robert Polster, Alexander Gazman, Matt Traverso, Mark Webster, Kaushik Patel, and Keren Bergman. 2017. Energy-performance optimized design of silicon photonic interconnection networks for high-performance computing. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Design, Automation & Test in Europe*. European Design and Automation Association, 326–331.
- [11] Chin-Hui Chen, M Ashkan Seyed, Marco Fiorentino, Daniil Livshits, Alexey Gubenko, Sergey Mikhlin, Vladimir Mikhlin, and Raymond G Beausoleil. 2015. A comb laser-driven DWDM silicon photonic transmitter based on microring modulators. *Optics express* 23, 16 (2015), 21541–21548.
- [12] Long Chen, Nicolás Sherwood-Droz, and Michal Lipson. 2007. Compact bandwidth-tunable microring resonators. *Optics letters* 32, 22 (2007), 3361–3363.
- [13] John E Cunningham, Ivan Shubin, Xuezhe Zheng, Thierry Pinguet, Attila Mekis, Ying Luo, Hiren Thacker, Guoliang Li, Jin Yao, Kannan Raj, and others. 2010. Highly-efficient thermally-tuned resonant optical filters. *Optics Express* 18, 18 (2010), 19055–19063.
- [14] Po Dong, Wei Qian, Hong Liang, Roshanak Shafiha, Ning-Ning Feng, Dazeng Feng, Xuezhe Zheng, Ashok V Krishnamoorthy, and Mehdi Asghari. 2010. Low power and compact reconfigurable multiplexing devices based on silicon microring resonators. *Optics express* 18, 10 (2010), 9852–9858.
- [15] Raphaël Dubé-Demers, Sophie LaRochelle, and Wei Shi. 2016. Ultrafast pulse-amplitude modulation with a femtojoule silicon photonic modulator. *Optica* 3, 6 (2016), 622–627.
- [16] Dazeng Feng, Wei Qian, Hong Liang, Cheng-Chih Kung, Zhou Zhou, Zhi Li, Jacob S Levy, Roshanak Shafiha, Joan Fong, B Jonathan Luff, and others. 2013. High-speed GeSi electroabsorption modulator on the SOI waveguide platform. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics* 19, 6 (2013), 64–73.
- [17] Alexander Gazman, Colm Browning, Ziyi Zhu, Liam P Barry, and Keren Bergman. 2017. Automated Thermal Stabilization of Cascaded Silicon Photonic Ring Resonators for Reconfigurable WDM Applications. *ECOC, September (2017)*.
- [18] Michael Georgas, Jonathan Leu, Benjamin Moss, Chen Sun, and Vladimir Stojanovic. 2011. Addressing link-level design tradeoffs for integrated photonic interconnects. In *Custom Integrated Circuits Conference (CICC), 2011 IEEE*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [19] Hasitha Jayatilleka, Michael Caverley, Nicolas AF Jaeger, Sudip Shekhar, and Lukas Chrostowski. 2015. Crosstalk limitations of microring-resonator based WDM demultiplexers on SOI. In *Optical Interconnects Conference (OI), 2015 IEEE*. IEEE, 48–49.
- [20] J Komma, C Schwarz, G Hofmann, D Heinert, and R Nawrodt. 2012. Thermo-optic coefficient of silicon at 1550 nm and cryogenic temperatures. *Applied Physics Letters* 101, 4 (2012), 041905.
- [21] Patrick Le Maître, Jean-Francois Carpentier, Charles Baudot, Nathalie Vulliet, Aurélie Souhaité, Jean-Baptiste Quélène, Thomas Ferrotti, and Frederic Bœuf. 2015. Impact of process variability of active ring resonators in a 300mm silicon photonic platform. In *Optical Communication (ECOC), 2015 European Conference on*. IEEE, 1–3.
- [22] Ang Li, Thomas Vaerenbergh, Peter Heyn, Peter Bienstman, and Wim Bogaerts. 2016. Backscattering in silicon microring resonators: a quantitative analysis. *Laser & Photonics Reviews* 10, 3 (2016), 420–431.
- [23] Guoliang Li, Xuezhe Zheng, Jin Yao, Hiren Thacker, Ivan Shubin, Ying Luo, Kannan Raj, John E Cunningham, and Ashok V Krishnamoorthy. 2011. 25Gb/s 1V-driving CMOS ring modulator with integrated thermal tuning. *Optics Express* 19, 21 (2011), 20435–20443.
- [24] Jun Li, Xuezhe Zheng, Ashok V Krishnamoorthy, and James F Buckwalter. 2016. Scaling trends for picjoule-per-bit WDM photonic interconnects in CMOS SOI and FinFET processes. *Journal of Lightwave Technology* 34, 11 (2016), 2730–2742.

- [25] Qi Li, Yang Liu, Kishore Padmaraju, Ran Ding, Dylan F Logan, Jason J Ackert, Andrew P Knights, Tom Baehr-Jones, Michael Hochberg, and Keren Bergman. 2014. A 10-Gb/s silicon microring resonator-based BPSK link. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters* 26, 18 (2014), 1805–1808.
- [26] Peicheng Liao, Meer Sakib, Fei Lou, Jongchul Park, Mitchell Wlodawski, Victor I Kopp, Dan Neugroschl, and Odile Liboiron-Ladouceur. 2015. Ultradense silicon photonic interface for optical interconnection. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters* 27, 7 (2015), 725–728.
- [27] Andy Eu-Jin Lim, Junfeng Song, Qing Fang, Chao Li, Xiaoguang Tu, Ning Duan, Kok Kiong Chen, Roger Poh-Cher Tern, and Tsung-Yang Liow. 2014. Review of silicon photonics foundry efforts. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics* 20, 4 (2014), 405–416.
- [28] Brent E Little, Juha-Pekka Laine, and Sai T Chu. 1997. Surface-roughness-induced contradirectional coupling in ring and disk resonators. *Optics letters* 22, 1 (1997), 4–6.
- [29] Zeqin Lu, Jaspreet Jhoja, Jackson Klein, Xu Wang, Amy Liu, Jonas Flueckiger, James Pond, and Lukas Chrostowski. 2017. Performance prediction for silicon photonics integrated circuits with layout-dependent correlated manufacturing variability. *Optics express* 25, 9 (2017), 9712–9733.
- [30] Ying Luo, Xuezhe Zheng, Shiyun Lin, Jin Yao, Hiren Thacker, Ivan Shubin, John E Cunningham, Jin-Hyoung Lee, Stevan S Djordjevic, Jock Bovington, and others. 2016. A Process-Tolerant Ring Modulator Based on Multi-Mode Waveguides. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters* 28, 13 (2016), 1391–1394.
- [31] Andri Mahendra, Chunle Xiong, Xiang Zhang, Benjamin J Eggleton, and Philip HW Leong. 2017. Multiwavelength stabilization control of a thermo-optic system with adaptive reconfiguration. *Applied optics* 56, 4 (2017), 1113–1118.
- [32] CL Manganelli, P Pintus, F Gambini, D Fowler, M Fournier, S Faralli, C Kopp, and CJ Oton. 2017. Large-FSR thermally tunable double-ring filters for WDM applications in silicon photonics. *IEEE Photonics Journal* 9, 1 (2017), 1–10.
- [33] Christina Manolatos and Michal Lipson. 2006. All-optical silicon modulators based on carrier injection by two-photon absorption. *Journal of lightwave technology* 24, 3 (2006), 1433.
- [34] Adil Masood, Marianna Pantouvaki, Guy Lepage, Peter Verheyen, Joris Van Campenhout, Philippe Absil, Dries Van Thourhout, and Wim Bogaerts. 2013. Comparison of heater architectures for thermal control of silicon photonic circuits. In *Group IV Photonics (GFP), 2013 IEEE 10th International Conference on*. IEEE, 83–84.
- [35] Hiroyuki Matsuura, Satoshi Suda, Ken Tanizawa, Keiji Suzuki, Kazuhiro Ikeda, Hitoshi Kawashima, and Shu Namiki. 2017. Accelerating switching speed of thermo-optic MZI silicon-photonic switches with "turbo pulse" in PWM control. In *Optical Fiber Communications Conference and Exhibition (OFC), 2017*. IEEE, 1–3.
- [36] Mahdi Nikdast, Gabriela Nicolescu, Jelena Trajkovic, and Odile Liboiron-Ladouceur. 2015. Silicon Photonic Integrated Circuits under Process Variations. In *Asia Communications and Photonics Conference*. Optical Society of America, ASu2A–12.
- [37] Mahdi Nikdast, Gabriela Nicolescu, Jelena Trajkovic, and Odile Liboiron-Ladouceur. 2016. Chip-scale silicon photonic interconnects: A formal study on fabrication non-uniformity. *Journal of Lightwave Technology* 34, 16 (2016), 3682–3695.
- [38] Mahdi Nikdast, Gabriela Nicolescu, Jelena Trajkovic, and Odile Liboiron-Ladouceur. 2016. Photonic integrated circuits: A study on process variations. In *Optical Fiber Communication Conference*. Optical Society of America, W2A–22.
- [39] Mahdi Nikdast, Jiang Xu, Luan Huu Kinh Duong, Xiaowen Wu, Xuan Wang, Zhehui Wang, Zhe Wang, Peng Yang, Yaoyao Ye, and Qinfen Hao. 2015. Crosstalk noise in WDM-based optical networks-on-chip: A formal study and comparison. *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems* 23, 11 (2015), 2552–2565.
- [40] Kishore Padmaraju, Dylan F Logan, Xiaoliang Zhu, Jason J Ackert, Andrew P Knights, and Keren Bergman. 2013. Integrated thermal stabilization of a microring modulator. *Optics express* 21, 12 (2013), 14342–14350.
- [41] Kishore Padmaraju, Lian-Wee Luo, Xiaoliang Zhu, Madeleine Glick, Raj Dutt, Michal Lipson, and Keren Bergman. 2014. Wavelength locking of a WDM silicon microring demultiplexer using dithering signals. In *Optical Fiber Communication Conference*. Optical Society of America, Tu2E–4.
- [42] Samuel Palermo, Kunzhi Yu, Ashkan Roshan-Zamir, Binhao Wang, Cheng Li, M Ashkan Seyedi, Marco Fiorentino, and Raymond Beausoleil. 2017. PAM4 silicon photonic microring resonator-based transceiver circuits. In *Optical Interconnects XVII*, Vol. 10109. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 101090F.
- [43] Marianna Pantouvaki, Hui Yu, Michal Rakowski, Phillip Christie, Peter Verheyen, Guy Lepage, Nele Van Hoovels, Philippe Absil, and Joris Van Campenhout. 2013. Comparison of silicon ring modulators with interdigitated and lateral PN junctions. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics* 19, 2 (2013), 7900308–7900308.
- [44] Paolo Pintus, Costanza Manganelli, Fabrizio Gambini, Fabrizio Di Pasquale, Maryse Fournier, Olivier Lemonnier, Christophe Kopp, and Claudio J Oton. 2016. Optimization of integrated silicon doped heaters for optical microring resonators. In *ECOC 2016; 42nd European Conference on Optical Communication; Proceedings of VDE*, 1–3.
- [45] James Pond, Jackson Klein, Jonas Flueckiger, Xu Wang, Zeqin Lu, Jaspreet Jhoja, and Lukas Chrostowski. 2017. Predicting the yield of photonic integrated circuits using statistical compact modeling. In *Integrated Optics: Physics and Simulations III*, Vol. 10242. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 102420S.
- [46] Miloš A Popovic, Tymon Barwicz, Michael R Watts, Peter T Rakich, Luciano Socci, Erich P Ippen, Franz X Kärtner, and Henry I Smith. 2006. Multistage high-order microring-resonator add-drop filters. *Optics letters* 31, 17 (2006), 2571–2573.
- [47] Graham T Reed, G Mashanovich, FY Gardes, and DJ Thomson. 2010. Silicon optical modulators. *Nature photonics* 4, 8 (2010), 518.
- [48] Ashkan Roshan-Zamir, Binhao Wang, Shashank Telaprolu, Kunzhi Yu, Cheng Li, M Ashkan Seyedi, Marco Fiorentino, Raymond Beausoleil, and Samuel Palermo. 2016. A 40 Gb/s PAM4 silicon microring resonator modulator transmitter in 65nm CMOS. In *IEEE Optical Interconnects Conference (OI)*, 2016. IEEE, 8–9.
- [49] Sébastien Rumley, Meisam Bahadori, Dessislava Nikolova, and Keren Bergman. 2016. Physical layer compact models for ring resonators based dense WDM optical interconnects. In *ECOC 2016; 42nd European Conference on Optical Communication; Proceedings of VDE*, 1–3.
- [50] M Ashkan Seyedi, Rui Wu, Chin-Hui Chen, Marco Fiorentino, and Ray Beausoleil. 2016. 15 Gb/s transmission with wide-FSR carrier injection ring modulator for Tb/s optical links. In *CLEO: Science and Innovations*. Optical Society of America, SF2F–7.
- [51] RICHARDA Soref and BRIANR Bennett. 1987. Electrooptical effects in silicon. *IEEE journal of quantum electronics* 23, 1 (1987), 123–129.
- [52] Chen Sun, Mark Wade, Michael Georgas, Sen Lin, Luca Alloatti, Benjamin Moss, Rajesh Kumar, Amir H Atabaki, Fabio Pavanello, Jeffrey M Shainline, and others. 2016. A 45 nm CMOS-SOI monolithic photonics platform with bit-statistics-based resonant microring thermal tuning. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 51, 4 (2016), 893–907.
- [53] Chen Sun, Mark T Wade, Yunsup Lee, Jason S Orcutt, Luca Alloatti, Michael S Georgas, Andrew S Waterman, Jeffrey M Shainline, Rimas R Avizienis, Sen Lin, and others. 2015. Single-chip microprocessor that communicates directly using light. *Nature* 528, 7583 (2015), 534.
- [54] Mark T Wade, Fabio Pavanello, Rajesh Kumar, Cale M Gentry, Amir Atabaki, Rajeev Ram, Vladimir Stojanović, and Miloš A Popović. 2015. 75% efficient wide bandwidth grating couplers in a 45 nm microelectronics CMOS process. In *Optical Interconnects Conference (OI), 2015 IEEE*. IEEE, 46–47.
- [55] Shijun Xiao, Maroof H Khan, Hao Shen, and Minghao Qi. 2007. A highly compact third-order silicon microring add-drop filter with a very large free spectral range, a flat passband and a low delay dispersion. *Optics express* 15, 22 (2007), 14765–14771.
- [56] Xi Xiao, Xianyao Li, Hao Xu, Yingtao Hu, Kang Xiong, Zhiyong Li, Tao Chu, Jinzhong Yu, and Yude Yu. 2012. 44-Gb/s silicon microring modulators based on zigzag PN junctions. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters* 24, 19 (2012), 1712–1714.
- [57] Xi Xiao, Hao Xu, Xianyao Li, Yingtao Hu, Kang Xiong, Zhiyong Li, Tao Chu, Yude Yu, and Jinzhong Yu. 2012. 25 Gbit/s silicon microring modulator based on misalignment-tolerant interleaved PN junctions. *Optics express* 20, 3 (2012), 2507–2515.
- [58] Qianfan Xu, Sasikanth Manipatruni, Brad Schmidt, Jagat Shakya, and Michal Lipson. 2007. 12.5 Gbit/s carrier-injection-based silicon micro-ring silicon modulators. *Optics express* 15, 2 (2007), 430–436.
- [59] Qianfan Xu, Bradley Schmidt, Sameer Pradhan, and Michal Lipson. 2005. Micrometre-scale silicon electro-optic modulator. *nature* 435, 7040 (2005), 325.
- [60] William A Zortman, Anthony L Lentine, Douglas C Trotter, and Michael R Watts. 2013. Bit-error-rate monitoring for active wavelength control of resonant modulators. *IEEE Micro* 33, 1 (2013), 42–52.
- [61] Maurizio Zuffada. 2012. The industrialization of the silicon photonics: technology road map and applications. In *Solid-State Device Research Conference (ESSDERC), 2012 Proceedings of the European*. IEEE, 7–13.