NURBSforms: A Modular Shape-Changing Interface for Prototyping Curved Surfaces

Yasaman Tahouni

MIT CSAIL

Cambridge, MA, USA

yasaman.tahouni@alum.mit.edu

Isabel P. S. Qamar

MIT CSAIL

Cambridge, MA, USA

ipsqamar@mit.edu

Stefanie Mueller

MIT CSAIL

Cambridge, MA, USA

stefanie.mueller@mit.edu

ABSTRACT

We present NURBSforms: a modular shape-changing interface for prototyping curved surfaces. Each NURBSform module represents an edge of variable curvature that, when joined together with other modules, enables designers to construct surfaces and adjust their curvature interactively. NURBSform modules vary their curvature using active and passive shape memory materials: an embedded shape memory alloy (SMA) wire increases the curvature when heated, while an elastic material recovers the flat shape when the SMA wire cools down. A hall effect sensor on each module allows users to vary the curvature by adjusting the distance of their hand. In addition, NURBSforms provides functions across multiple modules, such as 'save', 'reset', and 'load', to facilitate design exploration. Since each module is self-contained and individually controllable, NURBSform modules scale well and can be connected into large networks of curves representing various geometries. By giving examples of different NURBSforms assemblies, we demonstrate how the modularity of NURBSforms, together with its integrated computational support, enables designers to quickly explore different versions of a shape in a single integrated design process.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-centered computing** → **User interface toolkits**.

KEYWORDS

shape-changing interfaces; 3D modeling tools; prototyping.

ACM Reference Format:

Yasaman Tahouni, Isabel P. S. Qamar, and Stefanie Mueller. 2020. NURBSforms: A Modular Shape-Changing Interface for Prototyping Curved Surfaces. In *TEI '20 Papers, February 9 - 12, 2020, Sydney, Australia*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 7 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3374920.3374927>

1 INTRODUCTION

Figure 1: NURBSforms is a modular shape-changing interface for modeling curved surfaces. Each NURBSform module represents an edge of variable curvature that, when joined together with other modules, enables designer to construct a surface whose curvature can be adjusted in real-time.

Physical prototypes are an effective way to explore, represent, and iterate on design solutions because physical models express design aspects, such as form, scale, and spatial proportions better than their digital counterparts [8, 9]. In addition, the direct, hands-on interaction with the physical

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

TEI '20 Papers, February 9 - 12, 2020, Sydney, Australia

© 2020 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-6107-1/20/02...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3374920.3374927>

prototype supports exploration, which has been shown to enhance creativity [18]. However, today, physical prototyping lacks the computational support that digital 3D modeling tools offer to designers, such as the ability to quickly modify shapes, and to save and reload a prior version of a design.

In digital 3D modeling, designers can quickly create models using free-form curves and surfaces. For instance, using a modeling method called NURBS modeling (Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines) designers can rapidly create curved surfaces by manipulating the control points of the edges of the surface. Iterating on a design becomes easy since only the control points of the surface have to be changed to explore different versions. Doing the same task with physical prototypes requires a significant amount of time, preventing designers from iterating as efficiently as they do digitally.

Inspired by work on shape-changing interfaces and interactive fabrication, the goal of our work is to bridge the gap between digital and physical modeling. In particular, we explore how to transfer the concept of NURBS editing from digital to physical model making. To recreate the curve-editing process, we developed NURBSforms: a modular shape-changing interface of variable curvature that can be manipulated via hand gestures and that offers global functions such as ‘save’, ‘reset’, and ‘load’ (Figure 1). Since NURBSforms is modular in nature, designers can assemble networks of different shapes and complexity. With NURBSforms, designers benefit from features of both the physical and digital model making process, i.e. hands-on prototyping and reversible changes that enable fast design iteration.

2 RELATED WORK

Our work builds on research in bridging digital and physical modeling with a particular focus on programmable surfaces that use shape memory alloys.

Bridging Digital and Physical Modeling

Over the last few decades, many researchers have investigated how to bridge digital and physical model making. An early example of this is *Illuminating Clay* [15], a landscape modeling tool that combines hands-on clay modeling with a projected visual overlay. To add this capability to fix parts of a modeled shape, *ClaytricSurface* [10] and *JamSheets* [13] introduced the principle of jamming, which can tune the stiffness of a physical shape. Recently, researchers have introduced actuation into tangible modeling tools, i.e. enabling a design to change its own shape according to user input. Such actuation principles include, for instance, 2.5 shape displays with linear actuators (*inForm* [7]), interactive fabrication techniques, such as thermoforming (*FormFab* [11]), and flying pixels enabled through swarms of drones (*GridDrone* [2]). As identified by Qamar et al. [16], one particularly

suitable technique to actuate a surface is to use shape memory alloy (SMA) wires that are integrated with the physical shape. SMA wires work well due to their speed of actuation, reversibility of shape-change, their reduced size and weight, and their ability to react to external stimuli, such as an applied current (*Morpheus* [17]).

Programmable Surfaces using Shape Memory Alloys

Several research prototypes have exploited the properties of shape memory alloys within the context of actuating surfaces. *Surflex* [6], for instance, uses shape-memory alloy coils woven into a piece of foam to create a surface whose curvature can be electronically controlled. The SMA wires, connected through a series of PCBs, are arranged in an x, y grid which defines and consequently limits the resolution of the system to 2 soft pixels per 4 square feet. *SMAAD* [20] adopts a similar hardware strategy but weaves the SMA wires into a fabric surface. While each of the rectangular and triangular surfaces are modular, the edges of each of the modules cannot be controlled individually. Finally, *Bosu* [14] uses a set of shape memory modules formed into different geometric primitives to allow designers to quickly prototype actuated surfaces. Similar to NURBSforms, it enables bi-directional shape-change. However, while *Bosu* is physically modular, all modules are controlled together, limiting the level of independent control. Furthermore, the modules are limited to simple on/off states and cannot achieve the variable curvature that is required for exploring curved surfaces during physical prototyping. In contrast, NURBSforms modules are self-contained and individually programmable, thus providing designers with increased flexibility during assembly and control when exploring different shapes in a NURBS-style modeling process.

Interaction Techniques for Curve Manipulation

To date, several different methods have been used for manipulating programmable surfaces, including both contact and non-contact methods. One example of a contact method are deformable strips with integrated sensors that, when manipulated by hand, map their shape onto a digital curve. Devices such as these that can sense their own shape have been built using integrated fiber optic bend sensors (*ShapeTape* [1]), arrays of strain gauges and inertial motion units (*fStrip* [3]), and arrays of LEDs that can be detected by an infrared camera (*RoCuModel* [19]). Rather than being held in the hand of a user, these types of deformable strips have also been directly integrated with the shape of objects to sense user interaction with them (*FlexiBend* [4]). To use the strips for shape output (in addition to shape input), researchers have embedded a series of 1 DOF rotational servo actuators into the strips to display different shapes (*LineFORM* [12]).

Unlike the above studies, NURBSforms uses a non-contact input method through a magnet which has several benefits over direct hand manipulation: First, the physical tool does not need to be continuously held, freeing up the hands to perform other functions such as save, load and reset. Second, analog hand manipulation may cause unwanted changes in other modules, whereas digital thresholding of a magnetic input signal limits manipulation to one individual curve at a time. Furthermore, the larger the tool (i.e. when more NURBSforms modules are connected) the more challenging it is to hold and manipulate them all at the same time.

3 NURBSFORMS

NURBSforms is a modular shape-changing interface made from *self-contained, individually controllable* modules. As can be seen in Figure 2, these modules can be connected together into networks of curves to represent different 2D surfaces without the need for an external controller or wiring.

By taking advantage of the communication between the embedded micro-controllers, modules can also be controlled globally, enabling both synchronized shape-change and top-down functions, such as save, load, and reset, regardless of the arrangement and number of modules. This allows designers to benefit from features of digital modeling tools such as reversibility and repeatability while engaging in hands-on interaction with the prototype. Furthermore, using gestural interaction removes the need for having any additional interface such as a button or GUI, and does not place a limit on the number of functions that can be stored.

Figure 2: Different NURBSform assemblies: (a) 3 modules forming a triangle, (b) 4 modules forming a square, (c) 5 modules forming a composite surface.

To mirror the behavior of a virtual NURBS curve, a NURBSform module can increase or decrease in curvature. To be able to increase in curvature, a NURBSform module uses an embedded shape memory actuator (SMA wire). Applying an electric current heats the SMA wire, causing it to contract, which bends the strip and thereby increases the curvature. To be able to decrease in curvature, a NURBSform module is laminated onto an elastic base material (Polystyrene) that recovers the flat shape of the strip when the SMA wire cools down (see section ‘Hardware’ for more details).

4 HARDWARE: FABRICATING A NURBSFORM MODULE

The NURBSform hardware satisfies two requirements: First, NURBSform modules are *self-contained* units, i.e. each module has all sensing, actuation, and processing hardware on board of the strip. This allows NURBSform modules to be assembled into networks of arbitrary configuration and size. Second, in order for the SMA actuation mechanisms to accomplish the desired curvature quickly, NURBSforms modules are *lightweight* (total weight: 5g). A heavier module would require a thicker SMA wire to provide enough force for bending the strip, however, a thicker SMA takes considerably longer to heat and cool, and therefore longer to alter its shape, which consequently slows down the interaction.

Fabrication Process

We fabricated each NURBSform module as a flexible circuit laminate strip consisting of two layers (Figure 3): a conductive copper clad layer (DuPont Pyralux, 0.04mm) for the circuit and an elastic base layer (Polystyrene, 0.3mm) that resets the strip into a flat state. To fabricate a NURBSform module we proceeded in the following steps:

- (1) *Etching the Circuit:* After designing the circuit in the electronic design software *Eagle*, we exported the traces as an image file and then inverted the image to create a mask that preserves the electrical traces. We then used a vinyl cutter to cut the mask from vinyl stickers and adhered it to the conductive copper clad laminate. Afterwards, the copper laminate was placed in Ferric Chloride solution, which is a chemical etchant that removes the unmasked copper from the laminate. We removed the strip from the solution after 10 minutes.
- (2) *Laminating the Elastic Base Sheet:* After removing the strip from the chemical bath, we cleaned the strip and then laminated it onto the elastic base sheet.
- (3) *Soldering the Electric Components:* Next, we soldered the electrical components onto the circuit in the layout shown in Figure 3. The electrical components include a micro-controller (ATMEL ATTINY85) for controlling

Figure 3: NURBSforms module's hardware.

the circuit and processing user input, a hall-effect sensor for detecting hand movements by the user, and a MOSFET to power the actuators. The 4-pin male headers soldered on the two ends of the strip facilitate electrical connections to the power source and the communication lines.

- (4) *Attaching the Shape Memory Actuator*: Lastly, we attached the shape memory actuator to the strip by looping it through the strip at one end and then soldering it onto the allocated traces on the circuit at the other end of the strip. Before attaching the shape memory actuator, we treated the SMA wires (Nitinol, thickness: 0.25mm) to form the shape of a coil, which amplifies their range of curvature transformation from flat wire to a contracted state. To do this, we followed the steps from Coelho et al. [5]: we first constrained the shape of the SMA by rolling and fixing it around a screw, and then heating it to 60 °C for 13 minutes to program this shape into the memory of the wire. Once the shape had set, we quickly cooled it down by submerging the coil into cold water (room temperature, 25 °C) to return the wire to its original form.
- (5) *Attaching the Magnets for Assembly*: Finally, we glued one magnet on each end of the strip. Users can use the magnets to make the mechanical connection between modules.

Making one NURBSform module takes approximately 1.5 hours for all steps (vinyl cutting, etching, laminating, soldering, SMA wire forming) and costs less than \$5. The total

NURBSform module length is 19cm and its weight is 5g, which allows the shape memory alloy to create a wide range of curvatures (curvature radius of up to 52 mm which takes 4 seconds to attain from flat, it takes 7 seconds for the module to return to a flat state from maximum curvature).

5 WALKTHROUGH: WORKING WITH NURBSFORMS

Working with NURBSforms follows a two-step process: (1) *assembly*, and (2) *curve editing*.

Assembly

To create a network of curves with a desired size and configuration, users start by assembling the modules using the magnets provided on each end of a module (Figure 4).

Figure 4: Creating a network of curves from NURBSforms modules.

Next, to better visualize the shape of the 2D surface that forms when modifying the curvature of the NURBSform modules, users can apply an auxetic mesh that displays double curvature when bent (Figure 5). The auxetic surface is attached to each end of the module using magnets.

Figure 5: Attaching the auxetic surface to the assembly.

Finally, users connect the power and communication lines between modules by connecting the male header pins at the end of the modules (Figure 6). Each module has to be connected to at least one other module to share power and communication across the network of modules.

Figure 6: Connecting power and communication lines.

Curve Editing

In the second step, users can manipulate the curvature of the modules either by directly manipulating each individual module separately or by applying global actions across multiple modules, such as synchronized shape-change, loading a previously saved shape or resetting all modules to flat.

Interacting with Individual Modules. To support user interaction with each individual module, NURBSform modules have an integrated hall effect sensor that, when users wear a magnet on their finger, can sense the distance of the user's finger (i.e. the closer the magnet is to the hall effect sensor, the quicker the curvature change) and the magnets polarity (switch between increase or decrease in curvature). Thus, to edit an individual module, users only have to hover over the module and then move their hand either towards the module or away from it using the magnet at the desired polarity (Figure 7).

Figure 7: Directly controlling each module individually.

Interacting Across Multiple Modules. To enable users to edit groups of modules, NURBSforms goes beyond curve manipulation via individual control points and offers an extended set of computational functions that facilitate the modeling and design iteration process (Figure 8). By performing a tapping gesture, users can couple multiple modules together and then perform a single curve manipulation operation that

is applied to all modules simultaneously. Similarly, NURBSforms offers functions, such as undoing a curve manipulation (swipe gesture), saving a module configuration (grab gesture), loading the previous configuration (circle gesture), and copy-pasting a curvature (pick-and-drop gesture) onto other modules. This interaction is supported through a motion sensor (Leap Motion) located on the side of the interface. Since these functions rely on memory and computing capability of a digital system, they have no equivalent in conventional physical model making.

Figure 8: Interaction across multiple modules.

Registering the Digital Representation

While it is possible to work with NURBSform in standalone mode, i.e. only with the physical representation, all interaction with NURBS-form modules is tracked via the integrated hall-effect sensors and can thus be visualized in a 3D modeling program in real time. This allows the creation of a virtual model that mirrors the curvature changes created with the physical interface. This virtual model can be graphically represented or shared in real time, or can be saved as the digital record of the design iterations, which can later be accessed by the user. Figure 9 showcases this at the example of a physical/virtual twin for a 4-module aggregation.

6 SOFTWARE AND CONTROL

NURBSforms modules can work in two modes of operation: (1) autonomous mode and (2) synchronized mode.

Autonomous Mode: In the autonomous mode, all computation takes place within the embedded microprocessors in individual modules, without having communication with other modules. The module reads the input data on user

Figure 9: Digital representation of the physical model (auxetic surface mesh removed for better visibility).

interaction from the embedded hall effect sensor, processes the data locally, and adjusts the actuation of the SMA wire to form the matching curvature.

Synchronized Mode: In synchronized mode, a central computer monitors and controls all the modules in the interface. An Arduino connected to the computer communicates the data to each individual module (via the I2C Protocol). The synchronized mode facilitates interactions that control multiple modules at once, such as saving a module configuration, resetting all modules, or loading an existing module configuration.

7 CONCLUSION

We presented NURBSforms, a modular shape-changing interface that bridges digital and physical model making by bringing capabilities such as interactive transformation, reversibility, and repeatability to the physical modeling of curved surfaces. This surpasses the inherent limitation of physical model making, in which realizing a new shape requires repeating the fabrication process, costing time and additional raw material. We demonstrated how NURBSform modules can be assembled into different networks of curves, discussed the different modes of interaction, and provided instructions on how to make NURBSform modules using standard hardware and fabrication tools.

For future work, we plan to extend the digital representation to also be modular, i.e. be able to mirror various physical configurations. To add this functionality, each NURBSform

module has to communicate its connection to other modules back to the computer, which can be done through the communication channel already existing on each module. In addition, we plan to build a larger number of NURBSform modules to explore their use in the context of architectural designs and other surface modeling applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Terry Knight for her suggestions during the conceptual development and Mustafa Doga Dogan for help with paper editing.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ravin Balakrishnan, George Fitzmaurice, Gordon Kurtenbach, and Karan Singh. 1999. Exploring Interactive Curve and Surface Manipulation Using a Bend and Twist Sensitive Input Strip. In *Proceedings of the 1999 Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics (I3D '99)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 111–118. <https://doi.org/10.1145/300523.300536>
- [2] Sean Braley, Calvin Rubens, Timothy R. Merritt, and Roel Vertegaal. 2018. GridDrones: A Self-Levitating Physical Voxel Lattice for 3D Surface Deformations. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '18)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, Article D200, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3170427.3186477>
- [3] Chin-yu Chien, Cheng-Yuan Li, Liwei Chan, Yi-Chi Liao, Rong-Hao Liang, Hao-hua Chu, and Bing-Yu Chen. 2015. fStrip: A Malleable Shape-retaining Wearable Strip for Interface On-demand. In *Adjunct Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing and Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers (UbiComp/ISWC'15 Adjunct)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 105–108. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2800835.2800883>
- [4] Chin-yu Chien, Rong-Hao Liang, Long-Fei Lin, Liwei Chan, and Bing-Yu Chen. 2015. FlexiBend: Enabling Interactivity of Multi-Part, Deformable Fabrications Using Single Shape-Sensing Strip. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software & Technology (UIST '15)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 659–663. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2807442.2807456>
- [5] Marcelo Coelho. 2008. *Materials of interaction : responsive materials in the design of transformable interactive surfaces*. Master's thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, School of Architecture and Planning.
- [6] Marcelo Coelho, Hiroshi Ishii, and Pattie Maes. 2008. Surflex: A Programmable Surface for the Design of Tangible Interfaces. In *CHI '08 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '08)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3429–3434. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1358628.1358869>
- [7] Sean Follmer, Daniel Leithinger, Alex Olwal, Akimitsu Hogge, and Hiroshi Ishii. 2013. inFORM: Dynamic Physical Affordances and Constraints Through Shape and Object Actuation. In *Proceedings of the 26th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST '13)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 417–426. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2501988.2502032>
- [8] Bjarki Hallgrímsson. 2012. *Prototyping and Modelmaking for Product Design (Portfolio Skills)* (portfolio skills edition ed.). Laurence King Publishing, The address.
- [9] Yvonne Jansen, Pierre Dragicevic, and Jean-Daniel Fekete. 2013. Evaluating the Efficiency of Physical Visualizations. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '13)*.

- ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2593–2602. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481359>
- [10] Yasushi Matoba, Toshiki Sato, Nobuhiro Takahashi, and Hideki Koike. 2012. ClaytricSurface: An Interactive Surface with Dynamic Softness Control Capability. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2012 Emerging Technologies (SIGGRAPH '12)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, Article 6, 1 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2343456.2343462>
 - [11] Stefanie Mueller, Anna Seufert, Huaishu Peng, Robert Kovacs, Kevin Reuss, François Guimbretière, and Patrick Baudisch. 2019. Form-Fab: Continuous Interactive Fabrication. In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction (TEI '19)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 315–323. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3294109.3295620>
 - [12] Ken Nakagaki, Sean Follmer, and Hiroshi Ishii. 2015. LineFORM: Actuated Curve Interfaces for Display, Interaction, and Constraint. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software & Technology (UIST '15)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2807442.2807452>
 - [13] Jifei Ou, Lining Yao, Daniel Tauber, Jürgen Steimle, Ryuma Niiyama, and Hiroshi Ishii. 2013. jamSheets: Thin Interfaces with Tunable Stiffness Enabled by Layer Jamming. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Tangible, Embedded and Embodied Interaction (TEI '14)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 65–72. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2540930.2540971>
 - [14] Amanda Parkes and Hiroshi Ishii. 2010. Bosu: A Physical Programmable Design Tool for Transformability with Soft Mechanics. In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems (DIS '10)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 189–198. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1858171.1858205>
 - [15] Ben Piper, Carlo Ratti, and Hiroshi Ishii. 2002. Illuminating Clay: A 3-D Tangible Interface for Landscape Analysis. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '02)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 355–362. <https://doi.org/10.1145/503376.503439>
 - [16] Isabel P. S. Qamar, Rainer Groh, David Holman, and Anne Roudaut. 2018. HCI Meets Material Science: A Literature Review of Morphing Materials for the Design of Shape-Changing Interfaces. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '18)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, Article 374, 23 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173948>
 - [17] Anne Roudaut, Abhijit Karnik, Markus Löchtfeld, and Sriram Subramanian. 2013. Morphees: Toward High "Shape Resolution" in Self-actuated Flexible Mobile Devices. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '13)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 593–602. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2470738>
 - [18] Tomasz Sachanowicz. 2019. Creativity and Use of Physical Models in Architectural Design. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* 471 (feb 2019), 082072. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/471/8/082072>
 - [19] Yuebo Shen, Keqin Dou, and Jiawei Gu. 2013. RoCuModel: An Iterative Tangible Modeling System. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Tangible, Embedded and Embodied Interaction (TEI '14)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 73–76. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2540930.2540960>
 - [20] Akira Wakita, Akito Nakano, and Michihiko Ueno. 2011. SMAAD surface: A tangible interface for smart material aided architectural design. In *Circuit Bending, Breaking and Mending - Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Computer-Aided Architectural Design Research in Asia, CAADRIA 2011*. 355–364.